Neutrino Physics / 4



M. Lindner

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg





ITEP International Winter School of Physics 13th international Moscow school of physics, Moscow, Russia Feb. 13-20, 2010

Two Neutrino Oscillations

2 Neutrinos: v_e, v_μ

 $egin{aligned} |
u_e(0)
angle &= &\cos heta\,|
u_1
angle+\sin heta\,|
u_2
angle\ |
u_\mu(0)
angle &= &-\sin heta\,|
u_1
angle+\cos heta\,|
u_2
angle \end{aligned}$

2v-transition-

probability:

 $E_i = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m_i^2} \qquad \stackrel{p_i = p \gg m_i}{\longrightarrow} \qquad \simeq p + \frac{m_i^2}{2p} \qquad \simeq p + \frac{m_i^2}{2E}$

 $L = c \cdot t$ $\Delta m^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2 \Rightarrow E_2 - E_1 = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}$



$$|\nu_{\mu}(t)
angle = -\sin\theta \exp[-\frac{iE_{1}t}{\hbar}] |\nu_{1}
angle + \cos\theta \exp[-\frac{iE_{2}t}{\hbar}] |\nu_{2}
angle$$

simple QM derivation with assumptions:

- equal momentum?

- coherence, ...

$$P(
u_{\mu}
ightarrow
u_{e}) = \left| \langle
u_{\mu}(t) |
u_{e}(0)
ight
angle
ight|^{2} = \sin^{2} 2 heta \cdot \sin^{2} \left(rac{\Delta m^{2} L}{4E}
ight)$$

$$v_e, v_\mu, v_\tau \rightarrow 9$$
 oscillation channels for neutrinos
 $v_e, v_\mu, v_\tau \rightarrow 9$ channels for anti-neutrinos (assuming $3v$!)

Oscillations in QFT

- is ordinary QM sufficient to describe v-oscillations?
- v's are relativistic, 2nd quantization, ...
 - → Feynman diagram of neutrino oscillation:
 - energy momentum properties, quantum numbers
 - → QM limit, coherence, kinematics, ...
 - e.g. observation of solar neutrinos in v_{e} channel



Neutrino Oscillations in QFT

QFT description of a neutrino produced in a decay at rest:

- localized source and detector
- $L = |\vec{x}_D \vec{x}_S|$
- initial particle at rest
- target particle at rest

... DIF similar



Transition probability from Feynman diagram:

$$\left\langle P_{\substack{(-)\\\nu_{\alpha}\rightarrow}}^{(-)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{P}} \propto \int dP_S \int_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{d^3 p_{D1}}{2E_{D1}} \cdots \frac{d^3 p_{Dn_D}}{2E_{Dn_D}} \left| \mathcal{A}_{\substack{(-)\\\nu_{\alpha}\rightarrow}}^{(-)} \right|^2$$

\Rightarrow leads to neutrino oscillation + avoids confusion ...

Manfred Lindner

Kinematics: Equal Energy or equal Momenta?

- Consider e.g. pion decay at rest: $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu}$
- Neutrino energy and momentum determined by energy-momentum conservation

$$p_k^2 = \frac{m_\pi^2}{4} \left(1 - \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right)^2 - \frac{m_k^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right) + \frac{m_k^4}{4 m_\pi^2}$$
$$E_k^2 = \frac{m_\pi^2}{4} \left(1 - \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right)^2 + \frac{m_k^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right) + \frac{m_k^4}{4 m_\pi^2}$$

• For
$$E \gg m$$
: $p_k \simeq E - \xi \frac{m_k^2}{2E}$, $E_k \simeq E + (1 - \xi) \frac{m_k^2}{2E}$
with $E = \frac{m_\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right) \simeq 30 \,\text{MeV}$, $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_\pi^2} \right) \simeq 0.8$

⇒ neither equal energy nor equal momentum!

$$e^{ipx} \Rightarrow \left[p_{\mu} \cdot x^{\mu} = p_k L - E_k T = -\frac{m_k^2 L}{2E} \right]$$
 for $L = T$

 $\Rightarrow \xi$ drops out of the oscillation formulae \Leftrightarrow naive treatment correct

• Shown for π -decay, but valid in general (DIF, N-body, ..., different ξ)

Localized Source and Detector:

- Feynman rules for particles of given momentum (\simeq on-shell)
 - \Rightarrow this corresponds to an infinitely extended (non-localized) plane wave
- Localized source (wave packet) and detector in space-time ($\Delta x_S, \Delta t_S$), ($\Delta x_D, \Delta t_D$):
 - \Rightarrow Source: Fourier superposition of momenta with $\sigma_S^2 \simeq min(\Delta x_S^2, \Delta t_S^2)$
 - \Rightarrow Detector: projection on a superposition of momenta with $\sigma_D^2 \simeq min(\Delta x_D^2, \Delta t_D^2)$
- Different masses and momenta \Rightarrow dispersion \Rightarrow loss of coherence



- Oscillations from QFT $\Rightarrow P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}}(L,T) = \left| \sum_{k} U_{\alpha k}^{*} e^{i p_{k} L i E_{k} T} U_{\beta k} \right|^{2}$
- Very interesting QM effects (σ , decay)

General 3x3 neutrino mixing matrix:

has (up to) 3 angles + 1 Dirac-phase +2 Majorana-phases: θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} , δ , Φ_1 , Φ_2

$$U_{MNS} = U \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\exp[\mathbf{i}\Phi_1], \exp[\mathbf{i}\Phi_2], \mathbf{1})$$

$$U = \left(egin{array}{cccc} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \ -s_{12}c_{23}-c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23}-s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \ s_{12}s_{23}-c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23}-s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{array}
ight)$$

• Only U enters in neutrino oscillations: $\int_{ij}^{e_l e_m} := U_{li} U_{lj}^* U_{mi}^* U_{mj}$

• All oscillation frequencies show up:
$$\Delta_{ij} := \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E} = \frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)L}{4E}$$

$$P(\nu_{e_l} \to \nu_{e_m}) = \underbrace{\delta_{lm} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Re} J_{ij}^{e_l e_m} \sin^2 \Delta_{ij}}_{P_{CP}} \underbrace{-2 \sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Im} J_{ij}^{e_l e_m} \sin 2\Delta_{ij}}_{P_{CP}}$$

⇒ Leptonic CP violation, genuine 3 flavour and matter effects

Manfred Lindner

Matter Effects and MSW Resonance

Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein: coherent forward scattering



 $\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \mathsf{flavour} \text{ universal}$ $\mathcal{L}_{CC} = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{only} \ \nu_e$

MSW-resonance energy (Δm_{31}^2) Earth: $\mathbf{E}_{res} \simeq 10 \text{ GeV}$

for beams dominated by average density

 $\rho = \rho_{\rm average} + \delta \rho$

Baseline & MSW Matter Effect



• $E_{resonance} \simeq 10 - 15$ GeV, matter effects grow with distance L

 \bullet Average density profile uncertainties decrease with L \Rightarrow $~\simeq 5\%$ error

Hamiltonian for 3 Neutrino Oscillations in Flavour Basis:

$$\mathbf{H} = H_0 + \delta \mathbf{H}_{CC} + \delta \mathbf{H}_{NC} = \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}} + \frac{1}{2E} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}' \end{pmatrix}$$

•
$$\mathbf{A} = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{Y}\rho\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{n}}} = 2V \cdot E$$
 $\nu \oplus \text{matter}$ and $\overline{\nu} \oplus \text{anti} - \text{matter} \Rightarrow "+"$

• $Y = e^{-}$ /nucleon ρ =matter density m_n =nucleon mass

• Overall phases drop out: $m_i
ightarrow m_i - m_1 \Rightarrow m_1$ and A' can be eliminated

$$\mathbf{H'} = \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta m_{21}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta m_{31}^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U^T} + \frac{1}{2E} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Manfred Lindner

- In good approximation $\Delta m^2_{12} \simeq 0$
- U can be written as a sequence of rotations: $U = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}^{\prime\prime} &= \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \mathbf{R_{13}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta m_{31}^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R_{13}^{-1}} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} + \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{13}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta m_{31}^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{R_{13}^{-1}} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & 0 & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & \bullet \\ \bullet & 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{13}'} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & 0 & \bullet \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2E} \mathbf{R_{23}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{13}'} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta (m_{31}^2)' \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{R_{13}'})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R_{23}^{-1}} \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow re-insert $R_{12} \Rightarrow U' \Rightarrow$ parameter mapping in 1-3 subspace

- Different mappings for neutrinos and antineutrinos
- 1-3 sub-space mapping like in 2 neutrino case

• Relevant quantitiv
$$C_{\pm}^2 = \left(\frac{A}{\Delta m_{31}^2} - \cos 2\theta_{13}\right)^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_{13}$$

- MSW resonance condition for $\theta_{13} \simeq 0$: $\Delta m_{31}^2 = A = 2VE = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{2}G_F Y \rho E}{m_n}$
- Effective parameters in matter:

$$\sin^{2} 2\theta'_{13} = \frac{\sin^{2} 2\theta_{13}}{C_{\pm}^{2}}$$
$$\Delta m_{31,m}^{2} = \Delta m^{2} C_{\pm}$$
$$\Delta m_{32,m}^{2} = \frac{\Delta m^{2} (C_{\pm} + 1) + A}{2}$$
$$\Delta m_{21,m}^{2} = \frac{\Delta m^{2} (C_{\pm} - 1) - A}{2}$$

• Corrections due to

$$-\Delta m_{12}^2 \neq 0$$

- non-constant matter profiles \Rightarrow $\,$ solve Schrödinger equation

Analytic Approximations

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{v}_{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mu}) = \\ \approx \quad \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \quad \frac{\sin^{2}((1 - \hat{A})\Delta)}{(1 - \hat{A})^{2}}$$

 $\Delta = \Delta m_{31}^2 L/4E$ $\alpha = \Delta m_{21}^2 / \Delta m_{31}^2 \sim 1/30$ A = matter potential

 $\pm \sin \delta_{\rm CP} \,\alpha \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})}$ $+ \cos \delta_{\rm CP} \alpha \sin 2\theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos(\Delta) \frac{\sin(\hat{A}\Delta) \sin((1-\hat{A})\Delta)}{\hat{A}(1-\hat{A})}$

+
$$\alpha^2 \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{23} \frac{\sin^2(\hat{A}\Delta)}{\hat{A}^2}$$

Cervera et al. Freund, Huber, ML Akhmedov, Johansson , ML, Ohlsson, Schwetz

5. The Value of Future Precision Experiments

- Unique insight into various sources
 e.g. BOREXINO: Be flux, CNO, ... → stellar evolution
- 2) Information from lepton sector orthogonal to quarks
 → free of hadronic uncertainties
 → origin of flavour

θ_{13} – just one small Number?



- ... why care about θ_{13}
- Good to know...
- Leptonic CP violation
- Theory models



- Is this enough? What else ???

Learning about Flavour



Next: Smallness of θ_{13} , θ_{23} **maximal**

- models for masses & mixings
- input: known masses & mixings
 - **\rightarrow** distribution of θ_{13} predictions
 - $\rightarrow \theta_{13}$ expected close to ex. bound
 - → well motivated experiments

what if θ_{13} is very tiny? or if θ_{23} is very close to maximal?

- numerical coincidence unlikely
 special reasons (symmetry, ...)
- → answered by coming precision

The larger Picture: GUTs



GUT Expectations and Requirements

Quarks and leptons sit in the same multiplets

- → one set of Yukawa couplings for given GUT multiplet
- \rightarrow ~ tension: small quark mixings $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ large leptonic mixings
- → this was in fact the reason for the `prediction' of small mixing angles (SMA) – ruled out by data

Mechanisms to post-dict large mixings:

- → sequential dominance
- → type II see-saw
- → Dirac screening
- → ...

Sequential Dominance

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & a & b \\ \cdot & c & d \end{pmatrix} M_R = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & x & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\longrightarrow \qquad m_\nu = -m_D \cdot M_R^{-1} \cdot m_D^T = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \frac{a^2}{x} + \frac{b^2}{y} & \frac{ac}{x} + \frac{bd}{y} \\ \cdot & \frac{ac}{x} + \frac{bd}{y} & \frac{c^2}{x} + \frac{d^2}{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

If one right-handed neutrino dominates, e.g. y >> x

- \rightarrow small sub-determinant ~ m₂.m₃
- \rightarrow m₂ << m₃ (hierachy) and tan $\theta_{23} \simeq a/c$ (large mixing)

$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y} < \mathbf{z}}$$

<u>sequenatial dominance:</u> m₁ << m₂ << m₃ natural

naturally large mixings

S.F. King, ...

Large Mixings and Type II See-Saw

Type II see-saw:

- rather natural
- interference of two terms

$$\mathbf{m}_{v} = \mathbf{M}_{L} - \mathbf{m}_{D} \mathbf{M}_{R}^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{D}^{T}$$

<u>m_D and M_R may have small mixings and hierarchy</u> However: M_L can be numerically more important Example: Break GUT → SU(2)_L x SU(2)_R x U(1)_{B-L} → M_L from LR → large mixings natural for almost degenerate case $m_1 \sim m_2 \sim m_3$ → type I see-saw would only be a correction

type I – type II interference → Rodejohann, ML
 M_L ~ m_DM_R⁻¹m_D^T → interesting possibilities
 → dominance of one term + perturbation by 2nd term →

$U_{e3}=0$; maximal θ_{23} + small Perturbations

Leading structure from one type II term > perturbation by 2nd Three simple, stable candidates for U_{e3}=0 and maximal θ_{23}

$$(A) : \sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_A^2}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & 1 & -1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad L_e \quad EV = \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \quad NH$$
$$(B) : \sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_A^2}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau \quad EV = 0 \quad IH$$
$$(C) : m_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad L_\mu - L_\tau \quad EV = -m_0 \quad degenerate$$

Perturbation of the Leading Structure

e.g. 'democratic' perturbation:

$$m_{\nu}^{I} \simeq v_{L} \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

e.g. as correction to case (A):

→ naturally large $\theta_{12} = 1/3$ (tri-bimaximal mixing) → finite $\theta_{13} \simeq \sqrt{(\Delta m_{sol}^2 / \Delta m_{atm}^2)} \simeq 1/30$ → corrections to $\theta_{23} - \pi/4 \simeq \sqrt{(\Delta m_{sol}^2 / \Delta m_{atm}^2)} \simeq 1/30$

Tri-bimaximal Mixing

- tri-bimaximal mixing works phenomenologically very well
- mass matrix can be written as a sum of three terms

$$m_{\nu} = \frac{m_{1}}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & -2 \\ \cdot & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{2}}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & 1 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_{3}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & 1 & -1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- phenomenologically very successful
- tempting to think of it as a consequence of three terms
- type II $\leftarrow \rightarrow m_2, m_3$
- third scale?

Flavour Unification

- so far no understanding of flavour, 3 generations
- apparant regularities in quark and lepton parameters
- → flavour symmetries (finite number for limited rank)
- → symmetry not texture zeros

Examples:





phenomenologically promising example: D₅ Hagedorn, ML, Plentinger

task: search for mass terms which are for suitable Higges singlets under D₅ 1) assign fermions to representations $L = \{L_1, L_2, L_3\}$

2) write down any possible mass term using arbitrary 'flavon' scalars
←→ singlet under symmetry

D₅ Allowed Mass Terms

Dirac mass terms:

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_{ij}L_i^T(i\sigma_2)\phi L_j^c\ \lambda_{ij}L_i^Toxed{\equiv}\phi L_j \end{aligned}$$

<u>Majorana mass terms:</u>

→D5 symmetry induced mass matrices:



OK + "predictions"
 <u>PROBLEM</u>: many successful symmetries

GUT \otimes **Flavour Unification**



→ GUT group ⊗ flavour group

<u>example:</u> SO(10) \otimes SU(3)_F

- SSB of SU(3)_F between Λ_{GUT} and Λ_{Planck}
- all flavour Goldstone Bosons eaten
- discrete sub-groups survive ←→SSB
- e.g. Z2, S3, D5, A4
- ➔ structures in flavour space
- ➔ compare with data

 $GUT \otimes flavour$ is rather restricted

←→ small quark mixings *AND* large leptonic mixings ; quantum numbers

→ only a few viable models; phenomenological success highly non-trivial

Adulpravitchai, Blum, ML:

no-go theorem: SU(2) or SU(3) + reasonably small representations \rightarrow only D'₂

→ alternatives: e.g. discrete flavor sym. from T^2/Z_N orbifolds, ... ???

→ aim: learn about the origin of flavour by future precision

Flavour Symmetry Routes



Adulpravitchai, Blum, ML:

- ~ no-go theorem for embedding of discrete flavour symmetries:
 - SU(2) or SU(3) + reasonably small representations \rightarrow only D'₂
 - larger flavour groups → larger representations ←→ new particles?

Alternative attitude concerning discrete flavour symmetries:

- other types of embedding of discrete flavour symmetries
 e.g. discrete flavor sym. from T^2/Z_N orbifolds, x-dra dimensions...
- direct embedding into continuous flavour symmetries



Guaranteed Results & Surprises?

- Precise angles, phases and masses!
- Potential for other physics!
- Unexpected effects?

Other effective Operators Beyond the SM

→ effects beyond 3 flavours
 → Non Standard Interactions = NSIs → effective 4f opersators

$$\mathcal{L}_{NSI} \simeq \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} 2\sqrt{2} G_F(\bar{\nu}_{L\beta} \ \gamma^{\rho} \ \nu_{L\alpha})(\bar{f}_L \gamma_{\rho} f_L)$$

• integrating out heavy physics (c.f. $G_F \leftarrow \Rightarrow M_W$)

$$|\epsilon| \simeq \frac{M_W^2}{M_{NSI}^2}$$
 f

NSIs & Oscillations

Future precision oscillation experiments:

- must include full 3 flavour oscillation probabilities
- matter effects
- define sensitivities on an event rate basis
 - ➔ Simulations with GLoBES

Source \otimes	Oscillation \otimes	Detector
- neutrino energy E - flux and spectrum - flavour composition - contamination - symmetric $\nu/\overline{\nu}$ operation	 oscillation channels realistic baselines MSW matter profile degeneracies correlations 	 effective mass, material threshold, resolution particle ID (flavour, charge, event reconstruction,) backgrounds x-sections (at low E)

precision experiments might see new effects beyond oscillations → NSIs!

NSIs interfere with Oscillations



<u>note</u>: interference in oscillations ~ $\epsilon \mid \ FCNC$ effects ~ ϵ^2

NSI: Offset and Mismatch in θ_{13}



Kopp, ML, Ota, Sato

6. Neutrino as Probes into Sources

unique insights into sources! connections to many fields



Solar Neutrinos: Learning About the Sun

Observables:

- optical (total energy, surface dynamics, sun-spots, historical records, B, ...)
- **neutrinos** (rates, spectrum, ...)



Topics:

- nuclear cross sections
 - (at finite T ~ few MeV)
- solar dynamics
- helio-seismology
- variability
- composition





Solar Neutrino Spectroscopy



Borexino tests the Sun



BOREXINO:

the sun in real time photons ~10ky delay

47<u>+</u>7 events / day /100t expected: with oscillation 49<u>+</u>4 without 75<u>+</u>4



More to come:

Improved statistics and reduced systematics

- → 3.5% seasonal variation...
- → CNO cycle
- ➔ geo-neutrinos, …

ITEP Winter School of Physics

Borexino: 192 Days of Data



Supernova Neutrinos



Simulated Supernova Signal at SK



Simulation for Super-Kamiokande SN signal at 10 kpc Totani, Sato, Dalhed & Wilson

Amanda/IceCube as a Supernova Detector



2 possibilities:



Supernovae & Gravitational Waves





Dimmelmeier, Font, Müller

- → additional information about galactic SN
- → global fits: optical + neutrinos + gravitational waves
- ➔ neutrino properties + SN explosion dynamics
- → SN1987A: strongest constraints on large extra dimensions

Learning from Atmospheric Neutrinos



Geo Neutrinos as Probes of the Earth



- radiogenic part of terrestrial heat flow ~80 mW/m² → total: ~40 TW
- test geochemical model of the Earth, the Bulk Silicate Earth
- test unorthodox ideas of Earth's interior (K @ core, giant reactor)

Geo-Neutrino Observation at KamLAND

Many Connections to other Fields

Neutrinos probe new physics in many ways!

