Workshop "Heavy elements nucleosynthesis and galactic chemical evolution" September 8 - 11, 2013 Moscow

> Testing spectroscopic methods of stellar parameters determination for cool stars.



T. Sitnova, L. Mashonkina

A systematic study of NLTE abundance of nearby dwarfs

80 stars, -3 < [Fe/H] < 0.5 Observations: Lick 3.0m/Hamilton (R~40 000, S/N~200)



Testing spectrosc using 12 stars with	Testing spectroscopic method of log g, [Fe/H], Vmic det using 12 stars with well determined parameters		
Input		Output ?	reliable list of iron lines
Reliable parameters from literature		Determined parameters	
Teff (IR flux method), $\sigma \approx 100 \text{ K}$		is fixed. Check excitation equilibrium of Fe I. Abundances must not depend on Eexc.	
log g (Hipparcos), 0.0	$3 \le \sigma \le 0.2 \text{ dex}$	Check ionization Average abunda Fe I and Fe II lin	n equilibrium Fe I, Fe II. ances from nes must coincide.
Vmic, $\sigma \approx 0.1$ km/s		Log E _{Fe} vs. EW Abundances mu line EW.	ist not depend on the

[Fe/H] = log ϵ_{Fe} star - log ϵ_{Fe} sun, $\sigma \approx 0.1$ dex Simultaneously with log g and V_{mic}

The treated method will be applied to the remaining stars of the sample



Term structure of Fe I below the ionization limit

Fe I is minority species, *n*(Fe I)/*n*(Fe) << 1

- Iow-excitation levels are subject to UV overionization,
- high-excitation levels provide collisional coupling to Fe II.

Fe II is majority species, $n(Fe II) \approx n(Fe)$



Fe I: 233 terms + 6 super-levels, 11958 *b-b* transitions, Fe II: 89 levels ($E_{exc} \leq 10 \text{ eV}$), 1523 *b-b* transitions, Fe III: the ground state.

Departure coefficients for Fe I and Fe II levels

5960/4.10/ 0.14

5280/4.51/ -2.0



 Δ NLTE = NLTE - LTE abundance

Fe I : NLTE leads to weaker lines, $\Delta NLTE > 0$, ≤ 0.25 dex Fe II : deviations from LTE are negligible

NLTE level populations from DETAIL (Butler, 1985)



Sun 5780/4.44/ 0.00 /0.9



Fe II : two sets of oscillator strengths $\rightarrow 0.06$ dex in abundance difference $\rightarrow 0.10$ uncertainty in log g $\rightarrow \,$ Differential method

HD 30562 5960/4.10/ 0.24 /1.1

Absolute abundances



Abundances from differential method

Scatter reduces, Fe I-Fe II agreement is better Does this method work for metal-poor stars?

HD84937 6350/4.09/ -2.08 /1.7



Small reduction of scatter. Uncertainty in oscillator strength is removed, but uncertainty in VdW damping constants remains.

Fe I — Fe II abundance difference for 12 stars of the sample with the most accurate parameters from literature

Absolute values





9 stars: agreement of log g from spectroscopic method and from Hipparcos measurements.

3 stars: disagreement, which is not possible to remove by any variations of the method.

Conclusions

 Iron abundances were obtained for 12 stars from Fe I and Fe II lines taking into account non-LTE effects

- Differential method
- avoids uncertainty in oscillator strengths,
- works well for stars close solar metallicity

- for metal-poor stars line-to-line scatter does not reduce due to uncertainty in VdW damping constants.

 9 stars - agreement of log g from spectroscopic method and from Hipparcos measurements.

3 stars - disagreement, which is not possible to remove by any variations of the method.

The study will be continued.

• There are 15 more stars with log g from Hipparcos, which will be investigated by the same way.

The method will be applied to the total sample of stars.